CRTR2709-CR

## Case 1:24-cv-10300-DJC Document 6 Filed 02/22/24 Page 1 of 39

#### **COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS** SUFFOLK COUNTY CIVIL **Docket Report**

## 2384CV02678 Mark Mcdevitt, Peter Bukuras, Martin J. Quirk, Jr., William Doherty, And Fred Cucinatta, As Trustees Of Quincy West Condominium Trust et al vs. Hp Inc. et al

**CASE TYPE: Torts** 

**ACTION CODE: B05** 

**DESCRIPTION: Products Liability CASE DISPOSITION DATE:**02/15/2024

**CASE DISPOSITION:** 

Transferred to another Court

**CASE JUDGE:** 

FILE DATE:

11/22/2023

**CASE TRACK:** 

A - Average

**CASE STATUS:** 

Closed

**STATUS DATE:** 

02/15/2024

Civil F CASE SESSION:

#### **PARTIES**

**Plaintiff** 

Doherty, William 64 Willard Street **Unit 309** 

**Quincy, MA 02169** 

Attorney

John A Donovan

Sloane And Walsh LLP Sloane And Walsh LLP

One Boston Place

201 Washington Street Suite 1600

Boston, MA 02108

Work Phone (617) 523-6010 Added Date: 11/22/2023

**Attorney** 

557964

669990

631110

Anthony J Antonellis Sloane and Walsh, LLP Sloane and Waish, LLP One Boston Place

201 Washington Street Suite 1600

Boston, MA 02108

Work Phone (617) 523-6010 Added Date: 11/22/2023

**Attorney** 

Matthew D Rush

Sloane And Walsh, LLP

Sloane And Walsh, LLP One Boston Place

201 Washington Street Suite 1600

Boston, MA 02108

Work Phone (617) 523-6010

Added Date: 11/22/2023

Printed: 02/15/2024 9:04 am

Case No: 2384CV02678

Page: 1

CRTR2709-CR

## -cv-103@commonwealthrof massachusetts Page 2 of 39 SUFFOLK COUNTY CIVIL **Docket Report**

**Plaintiff** 

Mark Mcdevitt, Peter Bukuras, Martin J. Quirk, Jr., William Doherty, And Fred Cucinatta, As Trustees Of **Quincy West Condominium Trust** 64 Willard Street Quincy, MA 02169

**Attorney** 

John A Donovan

Sloane And Walsh LLP

Sloane And Walsh LLP

One Boston Place

201 Washington Street Suite 1600

Boston, MA 02108

Work Phone (617) 523-6010

Added Date: 11/22/2023

**Attorney** 

557964

631110

Anthony J Antonellis

Sloane and Walsh, LLP

Sloane and Walsh, LLP

One Boston Place

201 Washington Street Suite 1600

Boston, MA 02108

Work Phone (617) 523-6010

Added Date: 11/22/2023

**Attorney** 

669990

Matthew D Rush

Sloane And Walsh, LLP

Sloane And Walsh, LLP

One Boston Place

201 Washington Street Suite 1600

Boston, MA 02108

Work Phone (617) 523-6010

Added Date: 11/22/2023

Printed: 02/15/2024 9:04 am Case No: 2384CV02678 Page: 2 Case 1:24-cv-10300-DJC Document 6 Filed 02/22/24 Page 3 of 39

CRTR2709-CR



#### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS SUFFOLK COUNTY CIVIL Docket Report

**Plaintiff Attorney** 631110 John A Donovan Richard J. Buccheri, As Trustee Of 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust Sloane And Walsh LLP 60 Harrison Street Sloane And Walsh LLP Duxbury, MA 02332 One Boston Place 201 Washington Street Suite 1600 Boston, MA 02108 Work Phone (617) 523-6010 Added Date: 11/22/2023 **Attorney** 557964 Anthony J Antonellis Sloane and Walsh, LLP Sloane and Walsh, LLP One Boston Place 201 Washington Street Suite 1600 Boston, MA 02108 Work Phone (617) 523-6010 Added Date: 11/22/2023 **Attorney** 669990 Matthew D Rush Sloane And Walsh, LLP Sloane And Walsh, LLP One Boston Place 201 Washington Street Suite 1600 Boston, MA 02108 Work Phone (617) 523-6010 Added Date: 11/22/2023 Defendant Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.p. D/B/A Hr Development Company, L.p. 10300 Energy Drive Spring, TX 77389 **Defendant Attorney** 552588 Hp Inc. Christopher Gerard Betke 1501 Page Mill Road Coughlin Betke LLP Palo Alto, CA 94304 Coughlin Betke LLP 175 Federal St Boston, MA 02110 Work Phone (617) 988-8050 Added Date: 02/15/2024 **Attorney** 685704 Kristen Leigh Ruotolo Coughlin Betke Coughlin Betke 175 Federal St

Ste 1450

Boston, MA 02110

Work Phone (617) 988-8050 Added Date: 02/12/2024 CRTR2709-CR



# SUFFOLK COUNTY CIVIL Docket Report

INFORMATIONAL DOCKET ENTRIES						
Date	Ref	Description	Judge			
11/22/2023	1	Complaint electronically filed.				
11/22/2023	2	Civil action cover sheet filed.				
11/22/2023		Case assigned to: DCM Track A - Average was added on 11/22/2023				
11/22/2023		EDocument sent: A Tracking Order was generated and sent to: Plaintiff, Attorney: John A Donovan, III, Esq. jdonovan@sloanewalsh.com Plaintiff, Attorney: Anthony J Antonellis, Esq. aantonellis@sloanewalsh.com Plaintiff, Attorney: Matthew D Rush, Esq. mrush@sloanewalsh.com				
12/15/2023	3	Amended: First amended complaint filed by Mark Mcdevitt, Peter Bukuras, Martin J. Quirk, Jr., William Doherty, And Fred Cucinatta, As Trustees Of Quincy West Condominium Trust, William Doherty, Richard J. Buccheri, As Trustee Of 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust	×			
12/15/2023		Docket Note: Two summons sent via mail				
02/07/2024	4	Service Returned  Hp Inc. Defendant Service through person in charge / agent 01/19/2024				
		Applies To: Hp Inc. (Defendant)				
02/12/2024	5	Defendant Hp Inc.'s Notice of Removal (US Dist.# 24cv10300)				
02/12/2024		Attorney appearance On this date Kristen Leigh Ruotolo, Esq. added for Defendant Hp Inc.				
02/15/2024		Attorney appearance On this date Christopher Gerard Betke, Esq. added for Defendant Hp Inc.				
02/15/2024		Case transferred to another court.				
02/15/2024		REMOVED to the U.S. District Court of Massachusetts				

I HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

Feb. 15, 2024, THAT THE
FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL,
TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE
ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE,
AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY

John E. Powers, III
Acting Clerk Magistrate

SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT WILLIAM TO THE TRIAL COURT

Asst. Clerk

Printed: 02/15/2024 9:04 am

Case No: 2384CV02678

Page: 4

## 1

kg

#### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, ss. SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT CIVIL ACTION NO.: Q3-2678 F MARK MCDEVITT, PETER BUKURAS, MARTIN J. QUIRK, JR., WILLIAM DOHERTY, and FRED CUCINATTA, as Trustees of Quincy West Condominium Trust, WILLIAM DOHERTY, Individually, and RICHARD J. BUCCHERI, as Trustee of 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust, Plaintiffs, v. HP, INC., and HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P. D/B/A HP DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P., Defendants.

#### **COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND**

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiffs, Mark McDevitt, Peter Bukuras, Martin J. Quirk, Jr., William Doherty, and Fred Cucinatta, are trustees of the Quincy West Condominium Trust, an organization of unit owners formed under a Declaration of Trust recorded in the Norfolk Registry of Deeds at Book No. 6766, Page 606, with a Master Deed recorded in the Norfolk Registry of Deeds at Book No. 6766, Page No. 585.
- Plaintiff, William Doherty, is an individual residing at 64 Willard Street, Unit 309,
   Quincy, MA 02169.
- 3. Plaintiff, Richard J. Buccheri, is the trustee of 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust, a realty trust formed under a declaration of trust dated December 1, 2011, and resides at 60 Harrison Street, Duxbury, MA 02332.

3153877.v1

4. Defendant, HP Inc., is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 1501 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304, and a registered agent of CT Corporation System, 155 Federal Street, Suite 700, Boston, MA 02110.

5. Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., is a limited partnership organized under the laws of Texas, with a principal place of business at 10300 Energy Drive, Spring, TX 77389, and a registered agent of CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, TX 75201.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. At all relevant times and all times hereinafter mentioned, the Quincy West Condominium (the "Condominium"), located at 64 Willard Street, Quincy, Massachusetts (the "Property"), consisted of a building, common areas and related facilities.
- 7. At all relevant times and all times hereinafter mentioned, the Defendants, HP Inc. and Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P. (collectively, "Defendants"), were in the business of designing, marketing, manufacturing, packaging, selling, and distributing laptop computers throughout the United States, including to consumers located in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 8. At all relevant times and all times hereinafter mentioned, the Defendants solicited business, engaged in persistent conduct, and derived revenue from goods sold and used in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 9. On May 26, 2023, the Plaintiff, William Doherty, owned Unit 309 ("Unit 309) at the Condominium.
- 10. On May 26, 2023, the 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust owned Unit 409 ("Unit 409") at the Condominium.

- 11. On May 26, 2023, Francis R. Bellanti leased Unit 409 from 29 Sunset Point Realty
  Trust and resided in the unit.
- 12. On May 26, 2023, a HP Laptop, Model Number 14-dk1022wm, serial number 5CG052BRKR (the "Product") was located inside of Unit 409.
  - 13. The Defendants marketed, designed, manufactured and/or distributed the Product.
- 14. At all relevant times and all times hereinafter mentioned, the Defendants represented and warranted that the Product was safe, fit for its intended uses and free of any defects.
  - 15. Francis R. Bellanti used the Product for its intended purpose.
- 16. Francis R. Bellanti maintained the Product in a safe and proper manner, without any alteration or modification, and in accordance with the Product's instructions.
- 17. On May 26, 2023, while the Product was inside of Unit 409, the Product malfunctioned, caught fire and caused a fire (the "Fire") inside of Unit 409.
- 18. The Fire spread and caused extensive damage to property located at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 as well as loss of use of the property (the "Loss").
  - 19. The Product malfunctioned because of defects in the design and manufacture.
- 20. Defects in the design and manufacture of the Product made it unsafe for its intended use.
- 21. Defects in the design and manufacture of the Product established a strong likelihood of damage to property of users and others.
- 22. The Defendants' negligence and breach of the implied warranty of merchantability were a direct and proximate cause of the malfunction of the Product and the Fire, which caused

damage to property located at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 as well as loss of use of property.

- 23. The Plaintiff, 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust, also sustained expenses associated with tenant relocation.
- 24. As a result of the Fire, Loss and Defendants' negligence and breach of implied warranty of merchantability, the Plaintiffs' property sustained damage and the Plaintiffs sustained damage, including, but not limited to damage to property and loss of use of property, all to the financial loss and detriment of Norfolk & Dedham Mutual Fire Insurance Company.

#### COUNT I (Negligence v. HP, Inc.)

- 25. The Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 24 above, as if expressly re-written and set forth herein.
- 26. The Fire, Loss and resulting damage to property located at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 were proximately caused by the negligence and breaches of duties owed by the Defendant, HP Inc., including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. Placing in the channels of trade a product that the Defendant, HP Inc., knew or, with reasonable care, should have known, was unreasonably dangerous and unsafe;
  - b. Distributing a product that posed a risk of fire during normal use;
  - c. Marketing an inherently unsafe and/or dangerous product;
  - d. Misrepresenting that the Product was safe when the Defendant, HP Inc., knew or should have known that the Product was dangerous and unsafe;

- e. Failing to comply with applicable federal, state, and/or local regulations, statutes, and/or ordinances, regarding the design and manufacture of the Product;
- f. Failing to make appropriate recommendations concerning the use and maintenance of the Product;
- g. Failing to warn users, including Francis R. Bellanti, that the Product was susceptible to malfunction and fire;
- h. Failing to warn users, including Francis R. Bellanti, that the Product could cause or contribute to property damage as a result of malfunction and fire;
- Failing to warn users of the existence of a dangerous condition associated with the Product; and
- j. Otherwise failing to exercise reasonable care under the circumstances which then and there existed.
- 27. Plaintiffs have been damaged by the acts or omissions of the Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully demand that judgment enter on their behalf and against the Defendant, HP Inc., in the maximum amount allowed by law, plus interest, costs and attorneys' fees, and all other amounts which are recoverable by law. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs demand judgment against the Defendant, HP Inc., in an amount that will adequately compensate the Plaintiffs for their injuries, damages and/or losses, together with interest and costs.

# (Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability v. HP Inc.)

28. The Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 27 above, as if expressly re-written and set forth herein.

- 29. The Defendant, HP Inc., packaged, labeled, sold, supplied, designed, manufactured, and/or distributed the Product.
- 30. The Defendant, HP Inc., as the distributor and/or manufacturer of the Product, impliedly warranted that the Product was of merchantable quality, safe, reasonably fit for its intended uses, and free of any defects.
- 31. The Defendant, HP Inc., was a merchant with respect to goods of the kind involved in the Loss.
- 32. The Defendant, HP Inc., also knew or had reason to know that Francis R. Bellanti and those utilizing the Product relied on the implied warranty of merchantability made by the Defendant, HP Inc.
- 33. Francis R. Bellanti used the Product for its intended purpose and maintained the Product in a safe and proper manner without any alteration or modification, and in accordance with the Product's instructions.
- 34. The Defendant, HP Inc., breached the implied warranty of merchantability because the Product was not of merchantable quality, unsafe, not reasonably fit for its intended purposes and not free of any defects.
- 35. The Fire, Loss and resulting damage to property at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 occurred as a direct and proximate result of the breach of said implied warranty of merchantability by the Defendant, HP Inc.
- 36. Due notice has been given to the Defendant, HP Inc., of its breach of said implied warranty of merchantability.
  - 37. Plaintiffs have been damaged by the above-described acts and omissions.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully demand that judgment enter on their behalf and against the Defendant, HP Inc., in the maximum amount allowed by law, plus interest, costs and attorneys' fees, and all other amounts which are recoverable by law. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs demand judgment against the Defendant, HP Inc., in an amount that will adequately compensate the Plaintiffs for their injuries, damages and/or losses, together with interest and costs.

### COUNT III

(Negligence v. Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P.)

- 38. The Plaintiffs repeats, re-allege, and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 37 above, as if expressly re-written and set forth herein.
- 39. The Fire, Loss and resulting damage to property located at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 were proximately caused by the negligence and breaches of duties owed by the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. Placing in the channels of trade a product that the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., knew or, with reasonable care, should have known, was unreasonably dangerous and unsafe;
  - b. Distributing a product that posed a risk of fire during normal use;
  - c. Marketing an inherently unsafe and/or dangerous product;
  - d. Misrepresenting that the Product was safe when the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., knew or should have known that the product was dangerous and unsafe;

- e. Failing to comply with applicable federal, state, and/or local regulations, statutes, and/or ordinances, regarding the design and manufacture of the Product;
- f. Failing to make appropriate recommendations concerning the use and maintenance of the Product;
- g. Failing to warn users, including Francis R. Bellanti, that the Product was susceptible to malfunction and fire;
- h. Failing to warn users, including Francis R. Bellanti, that the Product could cause or contribute to property damage as a result of malfunction and fire;
- Failing to warn users of the existence of a dangerous condition associated with the Product; and
- j. Otherwise failing to exercise reasonable care under the circumstances which then and there existed.
- 40. Plaintiffs have been damaged as a result of the acts or omissions of the Defendants.

  WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully demand that judgment enter on their behalf and against the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development

Company, L.P., in the maximum amount allowed by law, plus interest, costs and attorneys' fees,

and all other amounts which are recoverable by law. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs demand judgment

against the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development

Company, L.P., in an amount that will adequately compensate the Plaintiffs for their injuries,

damages and/or losses, together with interest and costs.

#### **COUNT IV**

# (Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability v. Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P.)

- 41. The Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 40 above, as if expressly re-written and set forth herein.
- 42. The Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., packaged, labeled, sold, supplied, designed, manufactured, and/or distributed the Product.
- 43. The Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., as the distributor and/or manufacturer of the Product, impliedly warranted that the Product was of merchantable quality, safe, reasonably fit for its intended uses, and free of any defects.
- 44. The Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., was a merchant with respect to goods of the kind involved in the Loss.
- 45. The Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., also knew or had reason to know that Francis R. Bellanti and those utilizing the Product relied on the implied warranty of merchantability made by the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P.
- 46. Francis R. Bellanti used the Product for its intended purpose and maintained the Product in a safe and proper manner without any alteration or modification, and in accordance with the Product's instructions.
- 47. The Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., breached the implied warranty of merchantability because the

Product was not of merchantable quality, unsafe, not reasonably fit for its intended purposes and not free of any defects.

- 48. The Fire, Loss and resulting damage to the property located at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 occurred as a direct and proximate result of the breach of said implied warranty of merchantability by the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P.
- 49. Due notice has been given to the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., of its breach of said implied warranty of merchantability.
- 50. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover damages on account of Defendants' acts or omissions.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully demand that judgment enter on their behalf and against the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., in the maximum amount allowed by law, plus interest, costs and attorneys' fees, and all other amounts which are recoverable by law. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs demand judgment against the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., in an amount that will adequately compensate the Plaintiffs for their injuries, damages and/or losses, together with interest and costs.

#### **DEMAND FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs request that the Court:

1. Enter a judgement against the Defendants declaring that the Defendants are legally and financially responsible for the damages that the Plaintiff and its insured sustained or incurred;

- 2. Award the Plaintiffs compensatory damages against the Defendants in an amount equal to the damages they have incurred or suffered;
  - 3. Award the Plaintiffs costs of suit, including attorneys' and expert witness fees;
  - 4. Award the Plaintiffs interest, including, but not limited to, pre-judgement interest;

and

5. Fashion such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

The Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL,
TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE
ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE,
AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY

John E. Powers, III
Acting Clerk Magistrate
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT
DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

Respectfully submitted, Plaintiffs, By their Attorneys,

/s/ Anthony J. Antonellis

Anthony J. Antonellis, Esq., BBO# 557964
John A. Donovan, III, Esq., BBO# 631110
Matthew D. Rush, Esq., BBO# 669990
Sloane and Walsh LLP
One Boston Place, Ste. 1600
201 Washington St.
Boston, MA 02018
aantonellis@sloanewalsh.com
jdonovan@sloanewalsh.com
mrush@sloanewalsh.com

Date: November 22, 2023

39

Case 1:24-cv-10300-DJ eriled 11/22/2023 2:41 PM erior Court - Suffolk	C Document 6	Filed 02/22/24	Page 16 of 39
ket Number	DOCKET NUMBER	Trial Cou	rt of Massachusetts
CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET	12 2070	The Supe	erior Court

İ	$(\alpha)-\alpha(a)$	IX	- <u>'</u>			
Made Manager Date Delice 1997	0 2-06	<del>*                                    </del>	COUNTY Suffolk Superior	Court (Boston)		
Plaintiff Mark McDevitt, Peter Bukuras, Martin William Doherty, and Fred Cucinatta,		Defendant:	HP Inc.			
Quincy West Condominium Trust		ADDRESS:	1501 Page Mill Road, Pale	o Alto, CA 94304		
ADDRESS: 64 Willard Street, Quincy, MA 02169						
		-				
Plaintiff: William Doherty		Defendant:	Hewlett-Packard De	velopment Company, L.P. d/b/a HP		
ADDRESS: 64 Willard Street, Unit 309, Quincy, MA 02	169	ADDRESS:	10300 Energy Drive, Sprir			
				<u> </u>		
Plaintiff: Richard J. Buccheri, as Trustee of 29	Sunset Point	Defendant At	torney:			
Realty Trust  ADDRESS: 60 Harrison Street, Duxbury, MA 02332		ADDRESS:				
Tarrison Greet, Buxbury, WA 02002		k	9			
		вво:				
Plaintiff Attorney: Anthony J. Antonellis, Esq.		Defendant At	torney:			
ADDRESS: Sloane and Walsh LLP		ADDRESS:				
One Boston Place, 201 Washington Street, Suite 1600, Bost	ton, MA 02108					
				·		
BBO: 557964		вво:				
Plaintiff Attorney: John A. Donovan, III, Esq.		Defendant Attorney:				
ADDRESS: Sloane and Walsh, LLP		ADDRESS:				
One Boston Place, 201 Washington Street, Suite 1600, Bost	ton, MA 02108					
BBO: 631110		BBO:				
Plaintiff Attorney: Matthew D. Rush, Esq.		ADDRESS:	torney:			
ADDRESS: Sloane and Walsh, LLP	top MA 02109	ADDRESS:				
One Boston Place, 201 Washington Street, Suite 1600, Bos	1011, 1014 02 100					
BBO: 669990		BBO:				
	TRACK DESIGNAT		ructions section on next pag	e)		
CODE NO. TYPE OF ACTION				CLAIM BEEN MADE?		
B05 Products Liability		A	YES	☐ NO		
*If "Other" please describe:						
Is there a claim under G.L. c. 93	3A?		Is there a class action un	der Mass. R. Civ. P. 23?		
☐ YES ☐ NO	NT OF DAMAGES	BEOURDED 5	YES NO			
			Y G.L. c. 212, § 3A			
The following is a full, itemized and detailed statement of the [Note to plaintiff: for this form, do not state double or treble d	lamages; indicate si	undersigned p ingle damages CLAIMS	laintiff or plaintiff's counsel relie only.)	es to determine money darnages.		
A. Documented medical expenses to date	ION	AFUINO				
Total hospital expenses						
2. Total doctor expenses						
3. Total chiropractic expenses			<u> </u>			
Total physical therapy expenses			<del>-</del>			
5. Total other expenses (describe below)			_			
			Subtotal (1-5):	\$0.00		
B. Documented lost wages and compensation to date			·			
C. Documented property damages to date			_	\$10,000,000.00		
			<del>-</del>			

SC0001: 1/13/2023

www.mass.gov/courts

Date/Time Printed:11-22-2023 10:57:39

## CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET INSTRUCTIONS — SELECT A CATEGORY THAT BEST DESCRIBES YOUR CASE\*

AC Actions Involving the State/Municipal	lity†*	ER Equitable Remedies		RP Real Property	
AA1 Contract Action involving Commonwealth	h,	D01 Specific Performance of a Contract	(A)	C01 Land Taking	(F)
Municipality, MBTA, etc.	(A)	D02 Reach and Apply	(F)	C02 Zoning Appeal, G.L. c. 40A	(F)
AB1 Tortious Action involving Commonwealth		D03 Injunction	(F)	C03 Dispute Concerning Title	(F)
Municipality, MBTA, etc.	(A)	D04 Reform/ Cancel Instrument	(F)	C04 Foreclosure of a Mortgage	(X)
AC1 Real Property Action involving	• •	D05 Equitable Replevin	(F)	C05 Condominium Lien & Charges	(X)
Commonwealth, Municipality, MBTA etc	. (A)	D06 Contribution or Indemnification	(F)	C99 Other Real Property Action	(F)
AD1 Equity Action involving Commonwealth,		D07 Imposition of a Trust	(A)		
Municipality, MBTA, etc.	(A)	D08 Minority Shareholder's Suit	(A)	MC Miscellaneous Civil Action:	S
AE1 Administrative Action involving		D09 Interference in Contractual Relationship	(F)		
Commonwealth, Municipality, MBTA,etc	. (A)	D10 Accounting	(A)	E18 Foreign Discovery Proceeding	(X)
		D11 Enforcement of Restrictive Covenant	(F)	E97 Prisoner Habeas Corpus	(X)
CN Contract/Business Cases		D12 Dissolution of a Partnership	(F)	E22 Lottery Assignment, G.L. c. 10, § 28	(X)
		D13 Declaratory Judgment, G.L. c. 231A	(A)		
A01 Services, Labor, and Materials	(F)	D14 Dissolution of a Corporation	(F)	AB Abuse/Harassment Prevention	<u> 2n</u>
A02 Goods Sold and Delivered	(F)	D99 Other Equity Action	(F)	545.44 B W B W G1 0001	
A03 Commercial Paper	(F)		<b>.</b>	E15 Abuse Prevention Petition, G.L. c. 209A	
A04 Employment Contract	(F)	PA Civil Actions Involving Incarcerated P	arty +	E21 Protection from Harassment, G.L. c. 258	SE(X)
A05 Consumer Revolving Credit - M.R.C.P. 8.1	(F)				
A06 Insurance Contract	(F)	PA1 Contract Action involving an		AA Administrative Civil Action	S
A08 Sale or Lease of Real Estate	(F)	Incarcerated Party	(A)	EOO A I.C Admitstate that America	
A12 Construction Dispute	(A)	PB1 Tortious Action involving an		E02 Appeal from Administrative Agency,	~~
A14 Interpleader	(F)	Incarcerated Party	(A)	G.L. c. 30A	(X)
BA1 Governance, Conduct, Internal		PC1 Real Property Action involving an	<i>(</i> =1)	E03 Certiorari Action, G.L. c. 249, § 4	(X)
Affairs of Entities	(A)	Incarcerated Party	(F)	E05 Confirmation of Arbitration Awards	(X)
BA3 Liability of Shareholders, Directors,		PD1 Equity Action involving an	4=1	E06 Mass Antitrust Act, G.L. c. 93, § 9	(A) (X) (X)
Officers, Partners, etc.	(A)	Incarcerated Party	(F)	E07 Mass Antitrust Act, G.L. c. 93, § 8	(&)
BB1 Shareholder Derivative	(A)	PE1 Administrative Action involving an	<b>(</b> 5)	E08 Appointment of a Receiver	(A)
BB2 Securities Transactions	(A)	Incarcerated Party	(F)	E09 Construction Surety Bond, G.L. c. 149,	(4)
BC1 Mergers, Consolidations, Sales of				§§ 29, 29A	(A)
Assets, Issuance of Debt, Equity, etc.	(A)	TR Torts		E10 Summary Process Appeal	(X)
BD1 Intellectual Property	(A)			E11 Worker's Compensation	(X) (X)
BD2 Proprietary Information or Trade		B03 Motor Vehicle Negligence - Personal	<b>(</b> E)	E16 Auto Surcharge Appeal	(A)
Secrets	(A)	Injury/Property Damage	(F)	E17 Civil Rights Act, G.L. c.12, § 11H	(A)
BG1 Financial Institutions/Funds	(A)	B04 Other Negligence - Personal	<b>(</b> E\	E24 Appeal from District Court Commitment, G.L. c.123, § 9(b)	(X)
BH1 Violation of Antitrust or Trade		Injury/Property Damage	(F)	E94 Forfeiture, G.L. c. 265, § 56	(X)
Regulation Laws	(A)	B05 Products Liability	(A)	E95 Forfeiture, G.L. c. 94C, § 47	(A)
A99 Other Contract/Business Action - Specif	y (F)	B06 Malpractice - Medical	(A)	E99 Other Administrative Action	(F) (X)
		B07 Malpractice - Other	(A) (A)	Z01 Medical Malpractice - Tribunal only,	(^)
* See Superior Court Standing Order 1-88 for	ran	B08 Wrongful Death - Non-medical	(A) (A)	G.L. c. 231, § 60B	(E)
explanation of the tracking deadlines for each		B15 Defamation	(A) (A)	Z02 Appeal Bond Denial	(F) (X)
designation: F, A, and X. On this page, the tr	аск	B19 Asbestos B20 Personal Injury - Slip & Fall	(A) (F)	202 Appear Borid Derital	(//)
designation for each case type is noted in		B20 Personal Injury - Slip & Fall B21 Environmental	(F)	SO Sex Offender Review	
parentheses.		B21 Environmental B22 Employment Discrimination	(F)	SO SEX CHEHLER NEVIEW	
		BE1 Fraud, Business Torts, etc.	(A)	E12 SDP Commitment, G.L. c. 123A, § 12	(X)
t* Choose this case type if ANY party is the		B99 Other Tortious Action	(A) (F)	E14 SDP Petition, G.L. c. 123A, § 9(b)	(X)
Commonwealth, a municipality, the MBTA, o		Baa Other Tordons Action	(1)	E 14 ODI 1 GILLON, O.E. C. 1207, 3 O(D)	(/1)
other governmental entity UNLESS your cas	eisa	RP Summary Process (Real Property)		RC Restricted Civil Actions	
case type listed under Administrative Civil Ad	cuons	KP Summary Process (Negl Property)		No restricted of it Assists	
(AA).		S01 Summary Process - Residential	(X)	E19 Sex Offender Registry, G.L. c. 6, § 178	M (X)
A Observable and A ANN and the		S01 Summary Process - Residential S02 Summary Process - Commercial/	(11)	E27 Minor Seeking Consent, G.L. c. 112, § 1	
Choose this case type if ANY party is an		Non-residential	(F)		(/
incarcerated party, UNLESS your case is a c		Non-residential	V 1		
type listed under Administrative Civil Actions	(AA)		OF OUE -	_	
or is a Prisoner Habeas Corpus case (E97).		TRANSFER YOUR SELECTION TO THE FA	CE SHEE	ı	

#### EXAMPLE:

SC0001: 1/13/2023

CODE NO. TYPE OF ACTION (specify)

TRACK

HAS A JURY CLAIM BEEN MADE?

Motor Vehicle Negligence-Personal Injury

F...

YES NO

#### STATEMENT OF DAMAGES REQUIRED BY G.L. c. 212, § 3A

**DUTY OF THE PLAINTIFF** — On the face of the Civil Action Cover Sheet (or on attached additional sheets, if necessary), the plaintiff shall state the facts on which the plaintiff relies to determine money damages. A copy of the completed Civil Action Cover Sheet, including the statement concerning damages, shall be served with the complaint. A clerk-magistrate shall not accept for filing a complaint, except as otherwise provided by law, unless it is accompanied by such a statement signed by the attorney or self-represented litigant.

**DUTY OF THE DEFENDANT** — If the defendant believes that the statement of damages filed by the plaintiff is inadequate, the defendant may file with the defendant's answer a statement specifying the potential damages which may result if the plaintiff prevails.

A CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET MUST BE FILED WITH EACH COMPLAINT.
IF THIS COVER SHEET IS NOT FILLED OUT THOROUGHLY AND
ACCURATELY, THE CASE MAY BE DISMISSED.

www.mass.gov/courts

Date/Time Printed:11-22-2023 10:57:39

te File	d 11/22/20 Court - Su	$^{023}$ 2:41 $^{\circ}$ Case 1:24-cv-10300-DJC Document 6 Filed 02/22/24 Page	18 of 3	9
		y anticipated future medical and hospital expenses		
E. F	Reasonably	anticipated lost wages		
F. C	Other docu	mented items of damages (describe below)		
		TOTAL (A-F):	10	0,000,000
G. 1	Briefly des	cribe plaintiff's injury, including the nature and extent of the injury:		
11	intiffs susta rchantabili	ained property damage and loss of use of property as a result of the Defendants' negligence and breach of ty.	implied warr	anty of
		CONTRACT CLAIMS		
	This ac	tion includes a claim involving collection of a debt incurred pursuant to a revolving credit agreement. Mass.	R. Civ. P. 8	.1(a).
	Item #	Detailed Description of Each Claim		Amount
1 1	1.			
			Total	
'				<del></del>
Sign	nature of A	ttorney/Self-Represented Plaintiff: X /s/ Anthony J. Antonellis	Date:	November 22, 2023
RE	LATED A	CTIONS: Please provide the case number, case name, and county of any related actions pending in the Su	perior Court	•
		CERTIFICATION UNDER S.J.C. RULE 1:18(5)  y that I have complied with requirements of Rule 5 of Supreme Judicial Court Rule 1:18: Uniform Rules on Dispute Resoluted dispute resolution services and discuss with them the advantages and disadvantages of the various methods of dispute		that I inform my clients about
Sign	nature of A	ttorney: X /s/ Anthony J. Antonellis	Date:	November 22, 2023

I HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON

435

Feb. 15 2024 , THAT THE FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY

John E. Powers, III
Acting Clerk Magistrate
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT
DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

Asst. Clerk

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, ss.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2384CV02678

MARK MCDEVITT, PETER BUKURAS,	)
MARTIN J. QUIRK, JR.,	)
WILLIAM DOHERTY, and	)
FRED CUCINATTA, as Trustees of	)
Quincy West Condominium Trust,	)
WILLIAM DOHERTY, Individually, and	)
RICHARD J. BUCCHERI, as Trustee of	)
29 Sunset Point Realty Trust,	)
Plaintiffs,	)
	)
V.	)
	)
HP, INC., and	)
HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT	)
COMPANY, L.P. D/B/A HP	)
DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.,	)
Defendants.	)

#### FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Plaintiffs, Mark McDevitt, Peter Bukuras, Martin J. Quirk, Jr., William Doherty, and Fred Cucinatta, are trustees of the Quincy West Condominium Trust, an organization of unit owners formed under a Declaration of Trust recorded in the Norfolk Registry of Deeds at Book No. 6766, Page 606, with a Master Deed recorded in the Norfolk Registry of Deeds at Book No. 6766, Page No. 585.
- 2. Plaintiff, William Doherty, is an individual residing at 64 Willard Street, Unit 309, Quincy, MA 02169.
- 3. Plaintiff, Richard J. Buccheri, is the trustee of 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust, a realty trust formed under a declaration of trust dated December 1, 2011, and resides at 60 Harrison Street, Duxbury, MA 02332.

3172408.v1

3

- 4. Defendant, HP Inc., is a corporation organized under the laws of Delaware, with a principal place of business at 1501 Page Mill Road, Palo Alto, CA 94304, and a registered agent of CT Corporation System, 155 Federal Street, Suite 700, Boston, MA 02110.
- 5. Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., is a limited partnership organized under the laws of Texas, with a principal place of business at 10300 Energy Drive, Spring, TX 77389, and a registered agent of CT Corporation System, 1999 Bryan Street, Ste. 900, Dallas, TX 75201.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. At all relevant times and all times hereinafter mentioned, the Quincy West Condominium (the "Condominium"), located at 64 Willard Street, Quincy, Massachusetts (the "Property"), consisted of a building, common areas and related facilities.
- 7. At all relevant times and all times hereinafter mentioned, the Defendants, HP Inc. and Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P. (collectively, "Defendants"), were in the business of designing, marketing, manufacturing, packaging, selling, and distributing laptop computers throughout the United States, including to consumers located in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 8. At all relevant times and all times hereinafter mentioned, the Defendants solicited business, engaged in persistent conduct, and derived revenue from goods sold and used in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 9. On May 26, 2023, the Plaintiff, William Doherty, owned Unit 309 ("Unit 309) at the Condominium.
- 10. On May 26, 2023, the 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust owned Unit 409 ("Unit 409") at the Condominium.

- ocket Number 2384CV02678
  - 11. On May 26, 2023, Francis R. Bellanti leased Unit 409 from 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust and resided in the unit.
    - 12. On May 26, 2023, a HP Laptop (the "Product") was located inside of Unit 409.
    - 13. The Defendants marketed, designed, manufactured and/or distributed the Product.
  - 14. At all relevant times and all times hereinafter mentioned, the Defendants represented and warranted that the Product was safe, fit for its intended uses and free of any defects.
    - 15. Francis R. Bellanti used the Product for its intended purpose.
  - 16. Francis R. Bellanti maintained the Product in a safe and proper manner, without any alteration or modification, and in accordance with the Product's instructions.
  - 17. On May 26, 2023, while the Product was inside of Unit 409, the Product malfunctioned, caught fire and caused a fire (the "Fire") inside of Unit 409.
  - 18. The Fire spread and caused extensive damage to property located at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 as well as loss of use of the property (the "Loss").
    - 19. The Product malfunctioned because of defects in the design and manufacture.
  - 20. Defects in the design and manufacture of the Product made it unsafe for its intended use.
  - 21. Defects in the design and manufacture of the Product established a strong likelihood of damage to property of users and others.
  - 22. The Defendants' negligence and breach of the implied warranty of merchantability were a direct and proximate cause of the malfunction of the Product and the Fire, which caused

ocket Number 2384CV02678

damage to property located at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 as well as loss of use of property.

- 23. The Plaintiff, 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust, also sustained expenses associated with tenant relocation.
- 24. As a result of the Fire, Loss and Defendants' negligence and breach of implied warranty of merchantability, the Plaintiffs' property sustained damage and the Plaintiffs sustained damage, including, but not limited to damage to property and loss of use of property, all to the financial loss and detriment of Norfolk & Dedham Mutual Fire Insurance Company.

# <u>COUNT I</u> (Negligence v. HP, Inc.)

- 25. The Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 24 above, as if expressly re-written and set forth herein.
- 26. The Fire, Loss and resulting damage to property located at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 were proximately caused by the negligence and breaches of duties owed by the Defendant, HP Inc., including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. Placing in the channels of trade a product that the Defendant, HP Inc., knew or, with reasonable care, should have known, was unreasonably dangerous and unsafe;
  - b. Distributing a product that posed a risk of fire during normal use;
  - c. Marketing an inherently unsafe and/or dangerous product;
  - d. Misrepresenting that the Product was safe when the Defendant, HP Inc., knew or should have known that the Product was dangerous and unsafe;

- e. Failing to comply with applicable federal, state, and/or local regulations, statutes, and/or ordinances, regarding the design and manufacture of the Product;
- f. Failing to make appropriate recommendations concerning the use and maintenance of the Product;
- g. Failing to warn users, including Francis R. Bellanti, that the Product was susceptible to malfunction and fire;
- h. Failing to warn users, including Francis R. Bellanti, that the Product could cause or contribute to property damage as a result of malfunction and fire;
- Failing to warn users of the existence of a dangerous condition associated with the Product; and
- j. Otherwise failing to exercise reasonable care under the circumstances which then and there existed.
- 27. Plaintiffs have been damaged by the acts or omissions of the Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully demand that judgment enter on their behalf and against the Defendant, HP Inc., in the maximum amount allowed by law, plus interest, costs and attorneys' fees, and all other amounts which are recoverable by law. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs demand judgment against the Defendant, HP Inc., in an amount that will adequately compensate the Plaintiffs for their injuries, damages and/or losses, together with interest and costs.

# COUNT II (Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability v. HP Inc.)

28. The Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 27 above, as if expressly re-written and set forth herein.

- 29. The Defendant, HP Inc., packaged, labeled, sold, supplied, designed, manufactured, and/or distributed the Product.
- 30. The Defendant, HP Inc., as the distributor and/or manufacturer of the Product, impliedly warranted that the Product was of merchantable quality, safe, reasonably fit for its intended uses, and free of any defects.
- 31. The Defendant, HP Inc., was a merchant with respect to goods of the kind involved in the Loss.
- 32. The Defendant, HP Inc., also knew or had reason to know that Francis R. Bellanti and those utilizing the Product relied on the implied warranty of merchantability made by the Defendant, HP Inc.
- 33. Francis R. Bellanti used the Product for its intended purpose and maintained the Product in a safe and proper manner without any alteration or modification, and in accordance with the Product's instructions.
- 34. The Defendant, HP Inc., breached the implied warranty of merchantability because the Product was not of merchantable quality, unsafe, not reasonably fit for its intended purposes and not free of any defects.
- 35. The Fire, Loss and resulting damage to property at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 occurred as a direct and proximate result of the breach of said implied warranty of merchantability by the Defendant, HP Inc.
- 36. Due notice has been given to the Defendant, HP Inc., of its breach of said implied warranty of merchantability.
  - 37. Plaintiffs have been damaged by the above-described acts and omissions.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully demand that judgment enter on their behalf and against the Defendant, HP Inc., in the maximum amount allowed by law, plus interest, costs and attorneys' fees, and all other amounts which are recoverable by law. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs demand judgment against the Defendant, HP Inc., in an amount that will adequately compensate the Plaintiffs for their injuries, damages and/or losses, together with interest and costs.

# (Negligence v. Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P.)

- 38. The Plaintiffs repeats, re-allege, and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 37 above, as if expressly re-written and set forth herein.
- 39. The Fire, Loss and resulting damage to property located at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 were proximately caused by the negligence and breaches of duties owed by the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. Placing in the channels of trade a product that the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., knew or, with reasonable care, should have known, was unreasonably dangerous and unsafe;
  - b. Distributing a product that posed a risk of fire during normal use;
  - c. Marketing an inherently unsafe and/or dangerous product;
  - d. Misrepresenting that the Product was safe when the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., knew or should have known that the product was dangerous and unsafe;

- e. Failing to comply with applicable federal, state, and/or local regulations, statutes, and/or ordinances, regarding the design and manufacture of the Product;
- f. Failing to make appropriate recommendations concerning the use and maintenance of the Product;
- g. Failing to warn users, including Francis R. Bellanti, that the Product was susceptible to malfunction and fire;
- h. Failing to warn users, including Francis R. Bellanti, that the Product could cause or contribute to property damage as a result of malfunction and fire;
- i. Failing to warn users of the existence of a dangerous condition associated with the Product; and
- j. Otherwise failing to exercise reasonable care under the circumstances which then and there existed.
- 40. Plaintiffs have been damaged as a result of the acts or omissions of the Defendants.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully demand that judgment enter on their behalf and against the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., in the maximum amount allowed by law, plus interest, costs and attorneys' fees, and all other amounts which are recoverable by law. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs demand judgment against the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., in an amount that will adequately compensate the Plaintiffs for their injuries, damages and/or losses, together with interest and costs.

#### **COUNT IV**

# (Breach of Implied Warranty of Merchantability v. Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P.)

- 41. The Plaintiffs repeat, re-allege, and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 40 above, as if expressly re-written and set forth herein.
- 42. The Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., packaged, labeled, sold, supplied, designed, manufactured, and/or distributed the Product.
- 43. The Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., as the distributor and/or manufacturer of the Product, impliedly warranted that the Product was of merchantable quality, safe, reasonably fit for its intended uses, and free of any defects.
- 44. The Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., was a merchant with respect to goods of the kind involved in the Loss.
- 45. The Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., also knew or had reason to know that Francis R. Bellanti and those utilizing the Product relied on the implied warranty of merchantability made by the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P.
- 46. Francis R. Bellanti used the Product for its intended purpose and maintained the Product in a safe and proper manner without any alteration or modification, and in accordance with the Product's instructions.
- 47. The Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., breached the implied warranty of merchantability because the

Product was not of merchantable quality, unsafe, not reasonably fit for its intended purposes and not free of any defects.

- 48. The Fire, Loss and resulting damage to the property located at the Condominium and inside of Unit 309 and Unit 409 occurred as a direct and proximate result of the breach of said implied warranty of merchantability by the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P.
- 49. Due notice has been given to the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., of its breach of said implied warranty of merchantability.
- 50. Plaintiffs are entitled to recover damages on account of Defendants' acts or omissions.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs respectfully demand that judgment enter on their behalf and against the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., in the maximum amount allowed by law, plus interest, costs and attorneys' fees, and all other amounts which are recoverable by law. Furthermore, the Plaintiffs demand judgment against the Defendant, Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., in an amount that will adequately compensate the Plaintiffs for their injuries, damages and/or losses, together with interest and costs.

#### **DEMAND FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs request that the Court:

1. Enter a judgement against the Defendants declaring that the Defendants are legally and financially responsible for the damages that the Plaintiff and its insured sustained or incurred;

- 2. Award the Plaintiffs compensatory damages against the Defendants in an amount equal to the damages they have incurred or suffered;
  - 3. Award the Plaintiffs costs of suit, including attorneys' and expert witness fees;
- 4. Award the Plaintiffs interest, including, but not limited to, pre-judgement interest; and
  - 5. Fashion such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

The Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL,
TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE
ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE,
AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY

John E. Powers, III
Acting Clerk Magistrate

SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT

DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

Date: December 15, 2023

Respectfully submitted, Plaintiffs, By their Attorneys,

/s/ Anthony J. Antonellis

Anthony J. Antonellis, Esq., BBO# 557964
John A. Donovan, III, Esq., BBO# 631110
Matthew D. Rush, Esq., BBO# 669990
Sloane and Walsh LLP
One Boston Place, Ste. 1600
201 Washington St.
Boston, MA 02018
aantonellis@sloanewalsh.com
jdonovan@sloanewalsh.com
mrush@sloanewalsh.com

Summons	CIVIL DOCKET NO.	Trial Court of Massachusetts		
	2384CVU2678	The Superior Court		
CASE NAME:	Ti .	John E. Powers, III, Acting Glerk of Courts Suffolk Superior Civil County		
Mark McDevitt, et al. vs.	Plaintiff(s)	COURT NAME & ADDRESS: Three Pemberton Square Boston, MA. 02108		
HP, Inc., and Hewlett-Packar Development Co., L.P. d/b/a Development Co., L.P.				

THIS SUMMONS IS DIRECTED TO	HP, Inc.	

\_ (Defendant's name)

You are being sued. The Plaintiff(s) named above has started a lawsuit against you. A copy of the Plaintiff's Complaint filed against you is attached to this Summons and the original Complaint has been filed in the Suffolk Superior Courl YOU MUST ACT PROMPTLY TO PROTECT YOUR RIGHTS.

## 1. You must respond to this lawsuit in writing within 20 days.

If you do not respond, the Court may decide the case against you and award the Plaintiff everything asked for in the Complain You will also lose the opportunity to tell your side of the story. You must respond to this lawsuit in writing even if you expect to resolve this matter with the Plaintiff. If you need more time to respond, you may request an extension of time in writing from the Court.

#### 2. How to Respond.

To respond to this lawsuit, you must file a written response with the Court and mail a copy to the Plaintiff's attorney (or the Plaintiff, if unrepresented). You can do this by:

- a) Filing your **signed original** response with the Clerk's Office for Civil Business, Suffolk SuperiorCourt Three Pemberton Sq.

  Boston, MA 02108 (address), by mail, in person, or electronically through the web portal www.eFileMA.com if the Complaint was e-filed through that portal, AND
- b) Delivering or mailing a copy of your response to the Plaintiff's attorney/Plaintiff at the following address:

  Matthew D. Rush, Esq., Sloane and Walsh LLP

  201 Washington St., Suite 1600, Boston, MA 02108

### 3. What to Include in Your Response.

An "Answer" is one type of response to a Complaint. Your Answer must state whether you agree or disagree with the fact(s) alleged in each paragraph of the Complaint. Some defenses, called affirmative defenses, must be stated in your Answer or you may lose your right to use them in Court. If you have any claims against the Plaintiff (referred to as "counterclaims") that are based on the same facts or transaction described in the Complaint, then you must include those claims in your Answer. Otherwise, you may lose your right to sue the Plaintiff about anything related to this lawsuit. If you want to have your case heard by a jury, you must specifically request a jury trial in your Court no more than 10 days after sending your Answer.

perior Court - Suttolk icket Number 2384CV02678

> 3. (cont.) Another way to respond to a Complaint is by filing a "Motion to Dismiss," if you believe that the Complaint is legally invalid or legally insufficient. A Motion to Dismiss must be based on one of the legal deficiencies or reasons listed under Rule 12 of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure. If you are filing a Motion to Dismiss, you must follow the filing rules for "Civil Motions in Superior Court," available at:

> > www.mass.gov/law-library/massachusetts-superior-court-rules

#### 4. Legal Assistance.

You may wish to get legal help from a lawyer. If you cannot get legal help, some basic information for people who represent themselves is available at www.mass.gov/courts/selfhelp.

#### 5. Required Information on All Filings.

The "Civil Docket No." appearing at the top of this notice is the case number assigned to this case and must appear on the front of your Answer or Motion to Dismiss. You should refer to yourself as the "Defendant."

Witness Hon, Heidi E. Brieger , Chief Justice on Acting Clerk

Note: The docket number assigned to the original Complaint by the Clerk should be stated on this Summons before it is served on the Defendant(s).

#### PROOF OF SERVICE OF PROCESS



Suffolk County Sheriff's Department • 132 Portland Street, Boston, MA 02114 • (617) 704-6999 Suffolk, ss.

January 22, 2024

I hereby certify and return that on 1/19/2024 at 8:50 AM I served a true and attested copy of the Summons, 1st Amended Complaint, Cover Sheet and Tracking Order in this action in the following manner: To wit, by delivering in hand to Collette Allen, agent and person in charge at the time of service for HP Inc, at 155 Federal Street Suite 700 CT Corporation System Boston, MA 02110 . Attest/Copies (\$5.00) Basic Service Fee (IH) (\$30.00) Conveyance (\$0.30) Postage and Handling (\$1.00) Travel (\$1.00) Total: \$37.30

Deputy Sheriff Joseph Casey

HEREBY ATTEST AND CERTIFY ON ,THAT THE

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE, AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY

Date:

John E. Powers, III

Acting Clerk Magistrate

SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

Asst. Clerk

rev. 7/2022

5

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, ss

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2384cv02678 F

U.S. Dist. #24-cu-10301

Mark MCDEVITT, PERTER BUKURAS

MARTIN J. QUIRK, JR.,

WILLIAM DOHERTY, and

FRED CUCINATTA, as Trustees of

Quincy West Condominium Trust,

WILLIAM DOHERTY, Individually and

RICHARD J. BUCCHEIR, as Trustee of

29 Sunset Point Realty Trust,

Plaintiffs,

V.

HP, INC., and,

HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT

COMPANY, L.P. D/B/A HP

DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.,

Defendants

NOTICE TO STATE COURT OF

FILING OF NOTICE OF REMOVAL

To: Chief Clerk

Suffolk County Courthouse

3 Pemberton Square Boston, MA 02108

Defendant, HP, Inc. ("Defendant") hereby gives notice that this case has been removed to the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts on the 29th day of January 2024. A copy of Defendant's Notice of Removal is attached hereto as Exhibit A. You are advised to take no further action on this matter.

Respectfully submitted, Defendants, HP, Inc., and By its attorneys,

/s/ Kristen L. Ruotolo
Christopher G. Betke, BBO# 552588
Kristen L. Ruotolo, BBO# 685704
Coughlin Betke LLP
175 Federal Street
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 988-8050
cbetke@coughlinbetke.com
kruotolo@coughlinbetke.com

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Kristen L. Ruotolo, Esq., do hereby certify that on this 12th day of February 2024, I served a copy of the within document via email to:

Anthony J. Antonellis, Esq.
John A. Donovan, Esq.
Matthew D. Rush, Esq.
Slone and Walsh, LLP
One Boston Place, Suite 1600
Boston, MA 02108
aantonellis@sloanewalsh.com
jdonovan@sloanewalsh.com
mrush@sloanewalsh.com

/s/ Kristen L. Ruotolo, Esq. Kristen L. Ruotolo, Esq.

FOREGOING DOCUMENT IS A FULL,
TRUE AND CORRECT COPY OF THE
ORIGINAL ON FILE IN MY OFFICE,
AND IN MY LEGAL CUSTODY

John E. Powers, ifi
Acting Clerk Magistrate
SUFFOLK SUPERIOR CIVIL COURT
DEPARTMENT OF THE TRIAL COURT

Asst. Clerk

Case 1:24-cv-10300-DJC Document 6 Filed 02/22/24 Page 34 of 39

# **EXHIBIT** A

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Mark MCDEVITT, PERTER BUKURAS	
MARTIN J. QUIRK, JR.,	)
WILLIAM DOHERTY, and	)
FRED CUCINATTA, as Trustees of	)
Quincy West Condominium Trust,	)
WILLIAM DOHERTY, Individually and	)
RICHARD J. BUCCHEIR, as Trustee of	)
29 Sunset Point Realty Trust,	)
Plaintiffs,	)
	) CIVIL ACTION NO.: 1:24-cv-10300
v.	)
	)
HP, INC., and,	.)
HEWLETT-PACKARD DEVELOPMENT	)
COMPANY, L.P. D/B/A HP	)
DEVELOPMENT COMPANY, L.P.,	· )
<u>Defendants</u>	

## **DEFENDANT, HP INC.'S NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

Now come the defendant, HP Inc. (referred to as "Defendants"), and hereby submits this notice of removal pursuant to 28 USCA § 1441 and 28 USCA § 1446.

- 1. HP, Inc. is a corporation formed under the laws of the state of Delaware with its primary office located in Palo Alto, California.
- 2. Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P., is alleged to be a limited partnership organized under the laws of and with its principle place of business located in Texas.<sup>1</sup>
- 3. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs, Mark McDevitt, Peter Bukuras, Martin J. Quirk, Jr., William Doherty and Fred Cucinatta, are trustees of the Quincy West Condominium

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hewlett-Packard Development Company, L.P. d/b/a HP Development Company, L.P. is not a proper party to the action. Based on the allegations of plaintiffs' complaint, the only proper party would be HP Inc.

Trust, an organized unit of owners formed pursuant to a Declaration of Trust recorded in the Norfolk Registry of Deeds, Massachusetts.

- 4. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff, William Doherty, is an individual residing in Massachusetts.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Buccheri is the trustee of 29 Sunset Point Realty Trust and a resident of Massachusetts.
- Plaintiffs have brought suit against the Defendants in Massachusetts in the Suffolk County Superior Court.
- 7. A complete copy of the pleadings filed in that case, captioned Mark McDevitt, et al. vs. HP, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 2384-CV-2678, is attached hereto as Exhibit A.
- 8. As is set forth in Exhibit A, the plaintiffs allege damages in the amount of \$10,000,000...

  Thus, the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.
- The Complaint and Civil Action Cover Sheet were filed by the Plaintiffs on November
   22, 2023. A First Amended Complaint was filed on December 15, 2023
- 10. The First Amended Complaint was served on HP Inc., on January 19, 2024.
- 11. The Defendant removes this case on the grounds that there is complete diversity of citizenship among the parties to this litigation and the amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000 exclusive of interest and costs. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1)

WHEREFORE, the Defendant respectfully requests that this action proceed in the United States District Court for the District of Massachusetts, as an action properly removed from state court.

Respectfully submitted, Defendant, HP, Inc., By their attorneys, /s/ Christopher G. Betke
Christopher G. Betke, BBO# 552588
Kristen L. Ruotolo, BBO# 685704
Coughlin Betke LLP
175 Federal Street
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 988-8050
cbetke@coughlinbetke.com
kruotolo@coughlinbetke.com

Dated: February 6, 2024

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Christopher G. Betke, Esq., do hereby certify that on this 6<sup>th</sup> day of February 2024, I served a copy of the within document via email to:

Anthony J. Antonellis, Esq.
John A. Donovan, Esq.
Matthew D. Rush, Esq.
Slone and Walsh, LLP
One Boston Place, Suite 1600
Boston, MA 02108
aantonellis@sloanewalsh.com
jdonovan@sloanewalsh.com
mrush@sloanewalsh.com

/s/ Christopher Betke
Christopher Betke, Esq.

:ket Number 2384CV02678 Case 1:24-cv-10300-DJC Document 6 Filed 02/22/24 Page 38 of 39

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

1.	Title of case (na	me of first party on each side only) Mark MCDEVITT v. HP, Inc.
2.	Category in which	th the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local
	L 1	160, 400, 410, 441, 535, 830*, 835*, 850, 880, 891, 893, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.
	и	110, 130, 190, 196, 370, 375, 376, 440, 442, 443, 445, 446, 448, 470, 751, 820*, 840*, 895, 896, 899.
	<b>✓</b> III.	120, 140, 150, 151, 152, 153, 195, 210, 220, 230, 240, 245, 290, 310, 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 365, 367, 368, 371, 380, 385, 422, 423, 430, 450, 460, 462, 463, 465, 480, 485, 490, 510, 530, 540, 550, 555, 5625, 690, 710, 720, 740, 790, 791, 861-865, 870, 871, 890, 950. *Also complete AO 120 or AO 121. for patent, trademark or copyright cases.
3.	Title and number district please in	r, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this dicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.
4.	Has a prior action	n between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?  YES NO
5.	Does the compla §2403)	int in this case question the constitutionality of an act of congress affecting the public interest? (See 28 US
		YES NO
	If so, is the U.S.A	A. or an officer, agent or employee of the U.S. a party?  YES NO
6.	is this case requi	ired to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §2284?  YES NO
7.	Do <u>all</u> of the parti Massachusetts ('	ies in this action, excluding governmental agencies of the United States and the Commonwealth of 'governmental agencies"), residing in Massachusetts reside in the same division? - (See Local Rule 40.1(d)).  YES NO
	A.	If yes, in which division do all of the non-governmental parties reside?
		Eastern Division Central Division Western Division
	В.	If no, in which division do the majority of the plaintiffs or the only parties, excluding governmental agencies residing in Massachusetts reside?
		Eastern Division Central Division Western Division
8.	If filing a Notice of submit a separate	of Removal - are there any motions pending in the state court requiring the attention of this Court? (If yes,
		YES NO
PL	EASE TYPE OR PR	RINT)
	_	Christopher G. Betke
		Betke LLP, 175 Federal Street, Boston, MA, 02110
EL	EPHONE NO. (61)	7) 988- 8050

(CategoryForm11-2020.wpd)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet am provided by local rules of country	d the information contained rt. This form, approved by	herein neither replace no the Judicial Conference	or suppler	nent the filing and service	e of pleading	re or other nemera	oo maanimad ka.	law, exce	ept as
purpose of initiating the civil c	locket sheet. (SEE INSTRU	ICTIONS ON NEXT PAGE (	OF THIS FO	ORM.)					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS	F DEDEED 51.00		2	DEFENDANTS	5				
MARTIN J. QU	IT, PERTER BUKU IRK, JR.,	RAS		HP, INC., and,					
		Norfolk		County of Residence	of First List	ed Defendant	Santa Clara	7 A K N /	
Œ	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF (	CASES)			(IN U.S. P	LAINTIFF CASES C	ONLY)		
				NOTE: IN LAND CO THE TRACT	ONDEMNATI FOF LAND IN	ON CASES, USE T IVOLVED.	HE LOCATION	0F	
	Address, and Telephone Numb			Attorneys (If Known)					
	onellis, John A Dono and Walsh, LLP, On			Christopher G. LLP, 175 Fede					ke
II. BASIS OF JURISD				FIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	I. PARTIES.	(VZ 1 10, (0	0 - 7 - 6	
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government			(For Diversity Cases Only)	TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr	and One Box for I	One Box for Defendant)  PTF  4	or Plain ) DEF 4
U.S. Government - ** Defendant	Diversity     (Indicate Citizens.)	hip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	n of Another State	] 2	of Business In T Incorporated and I of Business In A	Principal Place	<u> </u>	<b>X</b> 5
	,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		· · ·	] 3 🔲 3	Foreign Nation	Chomer State	☐ 6	<b>□</b> 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box (	Only)	ror	eign Country	Click here	for: Nature of S	Suit Code Doe	orintiae	
CONTRACT	ANTEN NEW YORK	ORTS	FO	RFEITURE/PENALTY	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	KRUPTCY		STATUT	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury -	E	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	423 Wit		375 False C 376 Qui Tar	laims Act	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	Product Liability 367 Health Care/	۲º۶	0 Other	281	USC 157	3729(a 400 State R		nment
2 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical Personal Injury	- 1			TY RIGHTS	410 Antitru	st	
151 Medicare Act	330 Federal Employers'	Product Liability			820 Cop 830 Pate		430 Banks a 450 Commo		ng
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	Liability 340 Marine	368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product				nt - Abbreviated	460 Deporta	ation	
(Excludes Veterans)	345 Marine Product	Liability			840 Trac	Drug Application lemark	470 Rackete	er Influen Organiza	
153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits	Liability 350 Motor Vehicle	PERSONAL PROPERT 370 Other Fraud		LABOR  Fair Labor Standards		end Trade Secrets	480 Consun	ner Credit	:
160 Stockholders' Suits	355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	- H.,,	Act	Act	of 2016	(15 US 485 Telepho	C 1681 or one Consu	
190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 360 Other Personal	380 Other Personal	720	Labor/Management		LSECURITY	Protect	ioza Act	
196 Franchise	[ Injury	Property Damage  X 385 Property Damage	740	Relations Railway Labor Act		(1395ff) k Lung (923)	490 Cable/S 850 Securiti		odities/
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability	751	Family and Medical Leave Act	863 DIV	/C/DIWW (405(g))	Exchan	ige	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	S 790	Other Labor Litigation	864 SSI	O Title XVI (405(g))	890 Other S 891 Agricul	tatutory A	ctions
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee		Employee Retirement			893 Environ	mental M	latters
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	ľ	Income Security Act		s (U.S. Plaintiff	895 Freedor	n of Infor	mation
240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	443 Housing/	Sentence	-		or E	efendant)	896 Arbitrat	ion	
290 All Other Real Property	Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities	530 General 535 Death Penalty	00000000	IMMIGRATION	_	Third Party USC 7609	899 Admini		
	Employment	Other:		Naturalization Application		DBC 7009		riew or Ap Decision	peal of
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 448 Education	540 Mandamus & Othe 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of	r465	Other Immigration Actions			950 Constitu State Sta		of
V ODICIN		Confinement							
	noved from 3	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reins Reope		District	6 Multidistric	. "	Multidist Litigation	n -
<del></del>	Cite the U.S. Civil St	atute under which you are	filing (D)			Transfer		Direct Fi	ile
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	DN Brief description of ca	ause:							
VII. REQUESTED IN		im, Plaintiffs allege laptop							
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		MAND \$ ,000,000		HECK YES only i	f demanded in XYes	complair No	at:
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			D.O.O.V.		- "		
DATE		-	)D)mr. c.	- DECOND	DOCKE	T NUMBER			
02/06/2024		SIGNATURE OF ATTO s/ Christopher G. Bet		r KECUKD			· ·		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		a Christopher G. Bett	~ <del>~</del>						
P.P.C.	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE		MAG. JUD	GE		
				-					